

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,493	09/16/2003	Joseph P. Errico	F-289	2440
51640 SPINE MP	7590 11/16/2007		EXAMINER PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3738	
LERNER, DA	VID, et al.	•		
600 SOUTH A WESTFIELD	AVENUE WEST			
WESTITEED	, 143 07050			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				(`_			
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
• •	10/663,493		ERRICO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Brian E. Pell		3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will even cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u> August 2007</u> .						
,	·						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quay	//e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4t	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from cons		·				
Application Papers				,			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. Set if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been nts have been ority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:		()			

Application/Control Number: 10/663,493

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/13/07 has been entered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flat perimeter surface of one of the baseplates being longer than the flat surface of the angled distal end (of the tool) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). According to the specification (paragraph 142), this arrangement appears to be the opposite of what is claimed. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the angled distal end" in line 4 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,493

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraser et al. (6478800) in view of Buttner-Janz et al. (5401269) and McGahan et al. (WO 01/62191). Fraser et al. disclose (Fig. 1) a spinal implant 24 and tool set 10 for implantation. Fraser shows (Fig. 4) an implant with upper and lower baseplates having perimeters. Fraser also shows (Fig. 12) the tool with corresponding distal surface 106 and a plurality of spacers or projections protruding outwardly from the distal surface to thus form a recessed engaging surface. However, Fraser et al. fail to disclose the implant's baseplates being articulatable relative to one another and that the corresponding surfaces of the baseplate and tool are angled. It is noted that Fraser discloses other spinal implants are capable of use with the tool, col. 3, lines 54-56. Buttner-Janz et al. teach (Figs. 3,4) a spinal implant with first and second plates that are articulatable and have slot on the outer surface capable of receiving a tool. McGahan et al. illustrates (Fig. 15b) a spinal orthopedic set with a spinal implant 10 with angled perimeter surfaces having a central flat surface 22 flanked by two flat corner perimeter surfaces 18, 26 that correspond to the angled distal end of the tool having central surface 128 and two flanked flat surfaces 126, 130 to engage the implant. McGahan teaches that angled perimeter flat surfaces are provided to prevent rotation of the implant when inserting with the tool, page 12, lines 21-24. McGahan also shows (Fig. 22B) a difference in dimension of the implant surface and the tool engaging surface. McGahan show (Figs. 29A,B) another insertion tool and implant where the corresponding angled surfaces comprise a central flat surface flanked by two adjacent flat surfaces and thus form corners. Regarding claims 13-15, since the tool is capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/663,493

Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

being positioned about the implant in several locations, respective desired surgical approaches are capable of being used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the set and use an articulating implant as taught by Buttner-Janz et al. with the tool of Fraser et al. since this would provide a patient with the ability to permit motion between the vertebrae and it further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to also utilize angled flat perimeter surfaces on the plates and tool as taught by McGahan and modify the spinal implant and tool set of Fraser et al. as modified by Buttner-Janz such that it provides the surgeon with more precise control of the spinal implant or a more "locked" fit between the tool and implant. A modification of the engaging surfaces between the tool and that of the spinal implant would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art since it would not change the ability of the device's baseplates from articulating with respect to one another. Thus the modification of Fraser's tool in view of McGahan's teaching would result in having at least three flat surfaces and form at least two recessed corners.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Pellegrino whose telephone number is 571-272-4756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC 3700, AU 3738

BRIANE PELLEGRINO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Brian Pellegrino